



FLORIDA DEPARTMENT *of* STATE

RICK SCOTT

Governor

KEN DETZNER

Secretary of State

STATUE LOCATION SELECTION COMMITTEE

Meeting Agenda

June 28, 2018 - 2:00 p.m. to conclusion

R.A. Gray Building, Auditorium

500 South Bronough Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0250

ITEM	Presenter
------	-----------

Items printed in *RED ITALICS* indicate that a motion is requested.

- | | | |
|-------|---|-------------------------|
| I. | Call to Order/Facilitator's Comments (introduction and welcome) | Parsons |
| II. | Roll Call | Parsons |
| III. | Introduction of Committee Members & Staff | Parsons |
| IV. | <i>Adoption of Agenda</i> | Parsons |
| V. | Presentations | Applicant Organizations |
| VI. | Scoring | Staff |
| VII. | Public Comment | |
| VIII. | <i>Vote to Accept Scores</i> | Parsons |
| IX. | <i>Adjourn</i> | |

To request copies of meeting materials associated with this agenda, but not included herein, contact Dr. Sarah Liko with the Florida Department of State at Sarah.Liko@DOS.MyFlorida.com or 850.245.6333.

Under Florida law, email addresses are public records. If you do not want your email address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Applicant Name	Steve Birtman	Lavon Bracy	Townsend Fraser	Heather Mayo	Clifford Smith	Total Points	Average Score
Lake County Historical Museum/Bob Grenier	47	52	49	51	60	259	51.8
Jacksonville/Wayne Wood	12	34	17	12	12	87	17.4
St Augustine Foundation/Tom Graham	37	31	35	34	21	158	31.6

SCORING RUBRIC

For proposal reviews for the transfer of the Kirby Smith statue from the National Statuary Hall Collection.

How to use this rubric:

Committee members will receive a copy of the rubric to help ensure as fair and unbiased a proposal selection process as possible. The scoring mechanism defines each of the four criteria scored by committee members: Presentation, Applicant Qualifications, Appropriateness to Site and Maintenance. Benchmark descriptions and corresponding point values are listed to serve as a guide in the scoring process.

Overall consideration for the applications

<u>Value</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Score</u>
Excellent	Strongly demonstrates ability in relating accurate historical, arts and cultural content of subject matter. Is this a location that is free and accessible for public for viewing of the sculpture. Location is highly appropriate to the site. Applicant is able to professionally maintain the sculpture in perpetuity.	5
Good	Satisfactorily demonstrates ability in relating accurate historical, arts and cultural content of subject matter. Is this a location that is free and accessible for public for viewing of the sculpture. Location is highly appropriate to the site. Applicant is able to professionally maintain the sculpture in perpetuity.	3 - 4
Fair	Does not sufficiently demonstrate ability in relating accurate historical, arts and cultural content of subject matter. Is this a location that is free and accessible for public for viewing of the sculpture. Location is highly appropriate to the site. Applicant is able to professionally maintain the sculpture in perpetuity.	1 - 2
Weak	Makes an incomplete and/or inadequate case for selection of the location. Information is confusing, unclear, and lacks specific details.	0

COMMITTEE MEMBER Clifford Smith

PRESENTATION / PROPOSAL REVIEW

This form is provided to help create a basis for discussion and decision among the Committee members.

Circle one score under each criteria. Max Score available: 60

APPLICANT ORGANIZATION: Lake County Historical Museum

COMMENTS

APPLICANT QUALIFICATIONS

- How well does the applicant address the proposed location's historical significance in relation to the subject matter?
(consider General Smith and sculptor C. Adrian Pillars)

LOW HIGH
1 2 3 4 5

- Appropriateness of the location's accessibility for public viewing

LOW HIGH
1 2 3 4 5

- Has the applicant demonstrated the ability to cover the expenses for transporting the statute and installation in its new location?

LOW HIGH
1 or 5

APPROPRIATENESS TO SITE

Consider:

- Form, Design
- Content, Subject
- Relation to architecture
- Relation to space
- Relation to viewer, community, public and private sectors

LOW HIGH
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5

MAINTENANCE

- Has the applicant demonstrated the ability to professionally maintain a sculpture of this scale in perpetuity?

Consider:

- Safety
- Chance of vandalism
- Routine maintenance
- Long-term maintenance

LOW HIGH
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5

This is the only Applicant that has an indoor permeate exhibit space and has the staff in place to execute an exhibit, transportation, and installation of this sculpture -

Total Score: 60

(Add up all scores on this sheet and provide the total score for this organization.)

COMMITTEE MEMBER Steve Birtman

PRESENTATION / PROPOSAL REVIEW

This form is provided to help create a basis for discussion and decision among the Committee members.

Circle one score under each criteria. Max Score available: 60

APPLICANT ORGANIZATION: Lake County Historical Museum

COMMENTS

APPLICANT QUALIFICATIONS

- How well does the applicant address the proposed location's historical significance in relation to the subject matter?
(consider General Smith and sculptor C. Adrian Pillars)

LOW HIGH
1 2 3 4 5

- Appropriateness of the location's accessibility for public viewing

LOW HIGH
1 2 3 4 5

- Has the applicant demonstrated the ability to cover the expenses for transporting the statute and installation in its new location?

LOW HIGH
1 or 5

APPROPRIATENESS TO SITE

Consider:

- Form, Design
- Content, Subject
- Relation to architecture
- Relation to space
- Relation to viewer, community, public and private sectors

LOW HIGH
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5

MAINTENANCE

- Has the applicant demonstrated the ability to professionally maintain a sculpture of this scale in perpetuity?

Consider:

- Safety
- Chance of vandalism
- Routine maintenance
- Long-term maintenance

LOW HIGH
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5

Local Gov - Support.
Full Story -

Total Score: 47

(Add up all scores on this sheet and provide the total score for this organization.)

COMMITTEE MEMBER LaVon Bracy Davis

PRESENTATION / PROPOSAL REVIEW

This form is provided to help create a basis for discussion and decision among the Committee members.

Circle one score under each criteria. Max Score available: 60

APPLICANT ORGANIZATION: Lake County Historical Museum

COMMENTS

APPLICANT QUALIFICATIONS

- How well does the applicant address the proposed location's historical significance in relation to the subject matter?
(consider General Smith and sculptor C. Adrian Pillars)

LOW HIGH
1 2 3 4 5

- Appropriateness of the location's accessibility for public viewing

LOW HIGH
1 2 3 4 5

- Has the applicant demonstrated the ability to cover the expenses for transporting the statute and installation in its new location?

LOW HIGH
1 or 5

APPROPRIATENESS TO SITE

Consider:

- Form, Design
- Content, Subject
- Relation to architecture
- Relation to space
- Relation to viewer, community, public and private sectors

LOW HIGH
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5

MAINTENANCE

- Has the applicant demonstrated the ability to professionally maintain a sculpture of this scale in perpetuity?

Consider:

- Safety
- Chance of vandalism
- Routine maintenance
- Long-term maintenance

LOW HIGH
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5

It is my hope that the Lake County Historical Museum stay committed to its declaration of telling the total, true, accurate and historical story of Gen. Smith.

Total Score: 52

(Add up all scores on this sheet and provide the total score for this organization.)

COMMITTEE MEMBER LOWSON FRASER

PRESENTATION / PROPOSAL REVIEW

This form is provided to help create a basis for discussion and decision among the Committee members.

Circle one score under each criteria. Max Score available: 60

APPLICANT ORGANIZATION: Lake County Historical Museum

COMMENTS

APPLICANT QUALIFICATIONS

- How well does the applicant address the proposed location's historical significance in relation to the subject matter? (consider General Smith and sculptor C. Adrian Pillars)

LOW HIGH
1 2 3 4 5

- Appropriateness of the location's accessibility for public viewing

LOW HIGH
1 2 3 4 5

- Has the applicant demonstrated the ability to cover the expenses for transporting the statute and installation in its new location?

LOW HIGH
1 or 5

APPROPRIATENESS TO SITE

Consider:

- Form, Design
- Content, Subject
- Relation to architecture
- Relation to space
- Relation to viewer, community, public and private sectors

LOW HIGH
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5

MAINTENANCE

- Has the applicant demonstrated the ability to professionally maintain a sculpture of this scale in perpetuity?

Consider:

- Safety
- Chance of vandalism
- Routine maintenance
- Long-term maintenance

LOW HIGH
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5

Total Score: 49

(Add up all scores on this sheet and provide the total score for this organization.)

COMMITTEE MEMBER Heather Mayo

PRESENTATION / PROPOSAL REVIEW

This form is provided to help create a basis for discussion and decision among the Committee members.

Circle one score under each criteria. Max Score available: 60

APPLICANT ORGANIZATION: Lake County Historical Museum

COMMENTS

APPLICANT QUALIFICATIONS

- How well does the applicant address the proposed location's historical significance in relation to the subject matter?
(consider General Smith and sculptor C. Adrian Pillars)

LOW HIGH
1 2 3 4 5
3

- Appropriateness of the location's accessibility for public viewing

LOW HIGH
1 2 3 4 5
4

- Has the applicant demonstrated the ability to cover the expenses for transporting the statute and installation in its new location?

LOW HIGH
1 or 5
5

APPROPRIATENESS TO SITE

Consider:

- Form, Design
- Content, Subject
- Relation to architecture
- Relation to space
- Relation to viewer, community, public and private sectors

LOW HIGH
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
5

MAINTENANCE

- Has the applicant demonstrated the ability to professionally maintain a sculpture of this scale in perpetuity?

Consider:

- Safety
- Chance of vandalism
- Routine maintenance
- Long-term maintenance

LOW HIGH
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
4

Total Score: 51

(Add up all scores on this sheet and provide the total score for this organization.)